

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,156	(	04/02/2001	James Broc Stirton	2000.071000	2000.071000 8997	
23720	7590	12/23/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	GAN & AMERSO SUITE 1100	N, P.C.			
HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
tion of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/824,156	STIRTON, JAMES BROC			
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Juan D Valentin II	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>24 November 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.					
d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a color of this or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this DMAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.	•				
The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iten	ns are not under the proper			
The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review (37 CFR			
The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendix	x thereto (37 CFR			
The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).					
Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):				
The appeal brief filed on 11/24/2004, is non-compliand Interferences (BPAI) regarding 37 CFR § 41.37(c) explacement of certain items and the subject matter matter, Grounds for rejection to be reviewed on appearance.	ffective September 13, 2004. Example that must be submitted therein, i.e. Seal, Argument, etc	iner especially notes the			
		er, Grounds for rejection to be reviewed on appeal, Argument, etc			